RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 2 2 2004



Fax transmission from:

U.S. Naval Research Laboratory Associate Counsel Intellectual Property, Code 1008.2 4555 Overlook Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20375-5325

From: Joe Grunkemeyer

Phone: (202) 404-1556

Fax: (202) 404-7380

Date: 10/22/2004

To: Examiner Kenneth S. Kim

Art Unit 2111

Pax: (703) 872-9306

Cover Sheet + 19 Pages

Message:

· ·		
RE: Patent Application No.: 09/933,786		•
Filed: 08/20/2001		
Inventor: Sandbote		
Docket No.: NC 84,832		
Response to Notice of Noncompliant Amendment – 2 pages		
Copy of Notice of Noncompliant Amendment - 1 page	•	
Copy of Amendment of 09/24/2004 - 12 pages		
Copy of page of Office Action of 05/24/2004 - 1 page		
Amendment in Response to Notice of Noncompliant Amendment – 3 pages		•
	• • • • • •	,

The information contained in this facsimile may be client confidential, and may also be attorney privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, distribution, or copying of this transmission is prohibited. If you have received this facelmile in error, please notity us immediately by telephone for instructions. Thank you.

PATENT APPLICATION Docket No.: NC 84,832

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of: Sandbote Serial No.: 09/933,786 Filed: 08/20/2001 For: SHIFT PROCESSING UNIT Examiner: Kim, Kenneth S. Art Group Unit: 2111 RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DCT 2 2 2004

Honorable Commissioner of Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

October 22, 2004

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NONCOMPLIANT AMENDMENT

Sir:

The attached Notice of Noncompliant Amendment was mailed on 09/29/2004, stating that the "Abstract do [sic] not include markings." In the amendment of 09/24/2004, Applicant submitted two copies of an amended abstract, one with markings and one without. A copy of the entire amendment with fax receipt is attached. The marked-up version is on page 2 of the amendment and is titled "AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION." The abstract is on a separate sheet as there are no other amendments to the specification. The marked-up version may be seen in the image file wrapper with the document description "Specification" on 09/24/2004, and would appear to have been entered. At the Examiner's request (see attached page from the office action of 05/24/2004), a clean version of the amended abstract was also submitted on a separate sheet. This is shown in the image file wrapper as "Abstract — Amendment Not Entered" on 09/24/2004. Applicant respectfully contends that the amendment was compliant with current amendment practice, and, in the event that there is a patent term adjustment in the application, that there should be no reduction in the adjustment due to the alleged noncompliant amendment.

In the alternative, also attached is another amendment to the abstract alone, as it appears from the PAIR data that the claim amendments were entered.

CERTIFICATE OF FASCMILE TRANSMISSION

I certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the US Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

16/32/04

Date

Joseph T. Grunkemeyer

Serial No.: 09/933,786

PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No.: NC 84,832

In the event that a fee is required, please charge the fee to Deposit Account No. 50-0281, and in the event that there is a credit due, please credit Deposit Account No. 50-0281.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph T. Grunkemeyer Reg. No. 46,746 Phone No. 202-404-1556 Office of the Associate Counsel (Patents), Code 1008.2 Naval Research Laboratory 4555 Overlook Ave, SW Washington, DC 20375-5325



United States Patent and Trademark Office

		Nonce of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)
37 CFR	l 1.121. j zd sectio	document filed on 9-24-04 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of in order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required. Only the in of the non-compliant amendment document must be resubmitted (in its entirety), e.g., the entire to the claims" section of applicant's amendment document must be re-submitted. 37 CPR 1.121(h).
.U	I. Ame	ING CHECKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: naturalists to the specification:
	000	A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other
쩣	2. Abst	···
		A. Not presented on a separate sheet, 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other ANSTract do not include markings
	3. Ame	adments to the drawings:
	4. Ama	A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following 7 status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn), (Previously presented), (New) and (Not entered).
		D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. B. Other:
For furth	ter expla	nation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP Sec. 714 and the USPTO website at
non-cuts	r to supp y of the	iant amendment is a PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT, applicant is given ONE MONTH from the mail date of oly the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121. Failure to comply with 37 CFR 1.121 will result in preliminary amendment and examination on the merits will commence without consideration of the proposed eliminary amendment(s). This notice is not an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, and this ONE MONTH time limit s.
ONE M	amenan ONTH fo	iant amendment is a reply to a NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION (including a submission for an RCE), and ment appears to be a born fide attempt to be a reply (37 CFR 1.135(c)), applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of om the mailing of this notice within which to re-submit the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121 abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD ARE AVAILABLE UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).
status of	the amer	t is a roply to a PINAL REJECTION, this form may be an attachment to an Advisory Action. The period for all rejection continues to run from the date set in the final rejection, and is not affected by the non-compliant diment. 1033-563 Telephone No.
Rev. 6/04		

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



TO:

Fex Sender at 202 404 7380

Fax Information Date Received: Total Pages:

9/24/2004 1:35:07 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

16 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(e) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 308 et seq.

Received Cover Page

	Associate C	Counced Intell	transmission from: i. Navsi Passarch Laboratory sousi Property, Oads 1008.2 4855 Overlook Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20378-9325	
Prince:	Joe Gernikaanayer	Tex	Examiner Kenneth Kim	
	Phone: (202) 404-1556	•	Art Unit 2111 .	
	Par: (202) 404-7390		Fee: (703) 872-9305	
Dete:	09/24/2004	Cover	Stant + 15 Pages	
- Constant				•
Per T Periti	Mad: 18/20/2001 Medical Plan: NC 84,832 Persymbol — 1, 1989 100, fby Exponent — 1, 1988 profess in Response to Mandinal of Attorney and receipt cond — 2	Rejestion - 1	••••••••••	
The Industrial	mation climatished in this facethile may be set to believe only for the take of the Pro- resioners, you are beauty cettled that I be not have recovered the facethile.	client earthfuid Pridual or willy to 1 with UNA, clients to willer, clients	& enduray alastie attorney privilegad. The owners is estimated, if yet one net the whom, or expiring of this transmission is sector us formulately ay elleptones, so	

Application/Control Number: 09/933,786
Art Unit: 2111

Page 2

- 1. Claims 1-30 are presented for examination.
- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the current abstract does not reflect the inventive feature of the claimed invention to distinguish over the prior art.

 Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

All amended abstracts are to be submitted on a separate sheet (without the brackets and underlines) in addition to a mark-up copy.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- (a) Claim 1 it is not clear what is the difference between the shifted operand and shift carry operand and what is the use of the shift carry operand.
- (b) Claim 1, it is not clear what processing is done on the shifted operand.
- (c) Claim 1, it is not clear what is the origin and use of "the offset parameters."
- (d) Claims 11 and 21, the same as (a) to (c).
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -